

Nicholas S. Willick, Chief of Police 3101  
Auburn Police Department

69. CASE NO.

254-10-82

70. CODE SECTION

71. CRIME

72. CLASSIFICATION

MISSING PERSON

73. VICTIM'S NAME - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE.. (FIRM IF BUS.)

74. ADDRESS

RESIDENCE

BUSINESS

75. PHONE

FRIDAY - 9-24-82

I received information that RAPP may have seen KOVACICH, J. on 9-8-82. I contacted RAPP at Payless Shoes and asked her if she had seen KOVACICH, J. on 9-8-82. RAPP told me that she was not sure about seeing KOVACICH, J., but thought that her name sounded familiar. RAPP was asked to call this office should she obtain any information.

CASE OPEN.....

REPORTING OFFICERS

A. Odin, Detective

RECORDING OFFICER

TYPED BY

giw

DATE AND TIME

ROUTED BY

FURTHER ACTION

YES

NO

COPIES TO:

DETECTIVE

JUVENILE

C11

PATROL

000147

KLEMENCIC, D.

## AUBURN POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

**CASE NUMBER: 254-10-82**

**CRIME:** 187 P.C. Homicide

**V:** Janet Kay Kovacich (09-15-54)

**S:** Paul Ralph Kovacich Jr.

**WITNESS:** Klemencic, Dean

03-16-05

0800Hrs.

I contacted Klemencic after he left a message in response to the press release asking people with information to call the Police Department. He told me he had been junior high and high school friends of Janet. He said they were quite close and that they kissed but was not sure if he would say they were boyfriend and girlfriend. He said they hung out together a lot through high school.

Klemencic told me he had not seen Janet for a long time, but that he saw her about a week before she disappeared. He said he ran into her at the pie shop across from McDonald's in Auburn (Bakers Square). He said he heard from Gary Gregoire what a jerk her husband was. He said everybody hated Paul and that he had heard stories about Paul knocking Janet around. He said that Gary was a good guy and that he always looked out for Janet.

Klemencic went on to say that the day they met in Auburn, Janet was flirting with him, saying we need to get together. He said he was thinking to himself, your nuts, I've heard about your psycho husband. He said that Janet always was a flirt. He said he did not know where he got the information from, but he had heard that Janet had an affair. He had no further details and did not know if it was true.

He said he always thought Janet was cute but she was always a little pudgy. However when he saw her that last time she had lost weight and looked really awesome. He said Janet said lets get together. He then said "are you nuts". Janet gave him the impression her marriage was over, she was leaving. He said he even told his brother about the conversation and how good Janet looked.

He could not remember who told him that Janet had seen someone else, but would call me if he thought of who it was.

1 Q. Okay. And at some point in 1982 you became aware of  
2 Janet's disappearance, did you not?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Do you recall at all about how it was that you might have  
5 come to know that?

6 A. I believe that, again, my brother who really always reads  
7 the newspapers, listens to the news, I believe my brother  
8 brought it to my attention, and then I did read it in the paper  
9 and I went "wow," I was shocked.

10 Q. Do you recall approximately when it was that you read it  
11 in the newspaper?

12 A. I do not. I remember -- I just remember the fact that in  
13 my mind it sticks four days. I've never forgotten that four  
14 days, saying to my brother -- or probably my brother or another  
15 friend that, "Wow, I talked to Janet days before she  
16 disappeared. I just saw her in Auburn."

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. So --

19 Q. And you just now mentioned seeing her in Auburn. Do you  
20 recall more specifically where in Auburn it was?

21 A. Yes. Exactly where. It was in -- I believe it's called  
22 Baker's Square. It is the turnoff at Foresthill Highway and  
23 Lincoln, and I think it's still Baker's Square.

24 Q. You think it might have been. Do you think it was Baker's  
25 Square?

26 A. I think it was, because it was still a pie shop back then,  
27 I believe.

28 Q. Okay. And you had some contact, a meeting with her?

5149

1 and you can see them for yourself. What he did was, is -- I  
2 don't know how to put it. Lame. He takes out a ad in the  
3 newspaper or magazine a year and a half, two years later. A  
4 total cost of, I believe, \$66. A one-time ad in a paper, and  
5 that's it. The computer runs that he does are way down the  
6 road. In fact, the last computer run I think was in 2002 or  
7 2000 at a cost of 12 bucks. They run a social security, Janet's  
8 social security number through the computer system to see  
9 whether or not there's been any activities. I mean, that's it.  
10 That's all he did in searching for his wife.

11 We had all the neighbors come in and testify. He didn't  
12 walk the door. He didn't put posters up. He didn't hand out  
13 fliers. He didn't go in front of shopping centers. He didn't  
14 do anything.

15 This case comes back to, I think, is an application of  
16 common sense. That's what it is. Apply the standard we give  
17 you on probable cause. Apply your common sense, and there's no  
18 question she was killed. She was killed September 8, 1982.  
19 There was an argument. There was motive. There was  
20 opportunity. And he had the means. That's what it comes down  
21 to.

22 Again, I want to thank you on behalf of Cliff Gessner,  
23 Suzanne Gazzaniga, the District Attorney's Office. Thank you  
24 for participating in this, allowing us to present this case to  
25 you. I'm going to ask you that when you're done evaluating this  
26 evidence, that you find that there, in fact, is probable cause  
27 to indict him not beyond a reasonable doubt, not a finding of  
28 guilt or innocence, but probable cause, and return an indictment

1 Q. And just to be clear, you've just made the comment that,  
2 "I looked at those notes" or you made some reference to looking  
3 at some notes?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. And do you recall what specifically those notes  
6 were?

7 A. Well, they were the notes that I -- of the conversation  
8 that I had with the Auburn PD officer concerning the article  
9 that wanted anybody that knew anything about the case to call  
10 in. I wasn't going to do it. I wasn't even aware of it. I  
11 live in Auburn and I don't get a newspaper.

12 My brother e-mailed me and said, "Check this out. You  
13 know, it's an article about Janet." And he said, "You should  
14 call."

15 And I go, you know, I remember I spoke with her what I  
16 believed to be approximately four days before she disappeared up  
17 in Auburn.

18 And the conversation I had with Jerry Johnson, I think,  
19 was just what kind of the gist of my conversation with Janet and  
20 the transcription, but it was Jerry's words, not mine; seemed to  
21 focus more on Janet and I or something like that. I didn't -- I  
22 don't remember the conversation going that way, but I do  
23 remember saying things like, "Yeah, Janet looked really good.  
24 She looked very cute." I've always liked Janet, and I thought,  
25 you know, I knew her as a young --

26 MR. TELLMAN: At this point I'm going to object.

27 THE COURT: That's okay. At this point we'll go more  
28 question and answer.

COUNTY OF PLACER  
DEPARTMENT OF SHERIFF - CORONER




(I)

DATE: October 14, 1982  
TO: Sgt. Paul Kovacich  
FROM: Chief Deputy James R. Webber  
SUBJECT: NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACTION

I have been advised that you made contact with an employee of the Department of Justice on Tuesday, October 12, soliciting information regarding evidence submitted to the Crime Lab. You had been given direct orders by both myself and Inspector Johnnie Smith not to interfere with the investigation in progress.

Because of your actions as described in the foregoing paragraph, you may be in violation of Section 14.2210 (f) of the Placer County Code (insubordination). As there is a possibility that disciplinary action may ensue, you may wish to exercise any or all of your rights under Government Code Sections 3300 et. seq. (copy attached).

As you have five (5) days from receipt of this notice to respond, either orally or in writing, to the appointing authority, I have scheduled you time for such response on October 21, 1982, at 1000, in my office.

  
James R. Webber, Chief

Attachment

## CONTINUATION REPORT

7778-82

 ORIGINAL REPORT  SUPPLEMENTARY  FOLLOW-UP

CONNECTED REPORTS

AUTHORITY (LIST FIRST OFFENSE ONLY IF MORE THAN ONE) FEL MISD COMPLAINANT/VICTIM (LIST FIRST VICTIM ONLY IF MORE THAN ONE)

MISSING PERSON/ALLIED ASSIST

KOVACICH, Janet/Auburn PD

/DATE DEVELOP YOUR INVESTIGATION IN THE SEQUENCE OF TIME AND DATE OCCURRED; I.E., SIGNIFICANT EVENTS AT OR IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA OF THE SCENE; PERTINENT OBSERVATIONS; FINDING OF EVIDENCE; ADVISEMENTS AND/OR REQUESTS FOR ASSISTANCE; TRANSPORTATIONS; WITNESS CONTACTS. REPORT INFORMATION IN THE CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER IT OCCURRED.

she gets the impression that Paul is making a pass toward her.

I asked Ambrose how the kids seemed to be doing since the disappearance of their mother. She related they were not doing too well.

I asked Ambrose if Paul talked about anything in particular during their telephone conversations or personal contact. She related that he mainly talks about the manner in which Auburn Police Department is handling the case and at points he becomes very explosive when talking about the case. Ms. Ambrose states that she senses Paul did something to Janet and she is basing her opinion on the fact he is too calm, cool, and collected.

She then related her most recent conversation with Paul was on 10/4/82 when he called her house and was irate, hot, and was again expounding on the fact he did not believe the Auburn Police Department was handling the case correctly. Further, he really wanted to find Janet because everybody was getting down on him. He told her he had exploded at Chief Willick and claimed the police had lied to him alot. He also related he was going to put his house up for sale because he just had to get out of the community.

Ms. Ambrose stated she did not recall the context of the conversation, but Paul had related he had never hurt Janet other than to spank her on the butt and "Janet, knows I'll take care of the kids." He also stated he had to remain cool because of the kids. Further, he had been at a party Monday night, referring to 9/6/82, getting drunk and had been flirting with some girls and he didn't think Janet would mind. During this phone conversation, Paul asked her where she liked to party and also related he had gone out and gotten drunk on Friday night, two weeks prior to October 9, 1982. He then continued to explain to her the happenings on the morning of 9/8/82. He told her that he and Janet had gotten into an argument over a separation and Janet had said, "Paul, I'm not happy. I want a divorce," and he told her he would give her a divorce. She told him she wanted to take the kids out of the catholic school and put them into Forest Lake. Further, when he left on the morning of 9/8/82, Janet was on the phone. Also, he originally thought Janet was with her mother because her mother had been gone for two days.

10/19/82

0845 hours I received information from Sacramento Sheriff's Office Detective Sergeant Harry Machin that Sherry Griswold had been identified and she lived in Truckee, home phone 587-2754. I telephoned the number provided and talked with Sherry Griswold and arrangements were made to meet with her at her home on 10/21/82 at 1100 hours.

1134 hours I interviewed Mrs. Mary Katherine Ronner, as during an earlier interview it had been indicated she might possibly have some information that would be of some help. In response

It has been stated that: At one time Paul reported that Janet was in the house when he left at 10:00 a.m. At another time he reported that Janet walked out of the house ahead of him. Paul called the Forest Lake Christian School at 4:00 p. m. on Sept. 8 to see if Janet had kept her appointment.

SEPTEMBER 9 & 10.

Paul called me at school to inquire if I knew where Janet was. I said that I didn't know where Janet was. At that time, I wondered if she may have taken the children and left.

SEPTEMBER 11. (Saturday)

Paul telephoned, and asked if I knew where Janet was. "I said, that I did not." He remarked, "You don't sound very cooperative." Again I stated that I did not know where she was. Then he told me that, "I HAVE TWO CHILDREN HERE."

The message of knowing that the two children were there without Janet and her absence for this length of time alarmed us. It was the first knowledge we had of the children not being with Janet. Knowing that she would not leave them with Paul and certainly not for days we began to make calls.

I first called the Auburn Sheriff Department stating our concern about Janet being gone since September 8. I spoke with Sgt. Butts who seemed not to share my concern. I requested that an officer come out. He advised me that there was a circus in town, they were very busy and that no one would be out. I made a second call to him with a similar response.

I then called a friend who advised me to call the, "Wathh Commander", in the sheriff department. I then called and left a message for the commander to call me. After a period of time Sgt. Morrello, the commander, called me. I re-stated our anxiety about Janet and that she has been gone since Wednesday and pleaded for help. Sgt. Morrello said, "We can't come out tonight." I was disturbed and attempted to persuade him to send someone out. After additional conversation he stated, "Listen to me. You must have a closed mind! Let's turn the tables and listen to me!" He made it clear that no one would be out that night. I asked when Janet had been reported as missing. He advised, "as far as I now, it was reported Wednesday." I persisted in something being done.

Later: 9:40 p.m. Saturday, September 11.

Dan Boon, from the Auburn Police Department (not the Sheriff Department) called and said, "it is late tonight so I would like to schedule an appointment for tomorrow morning." We scheduled an appointment for 9:00 a.m. Sunday September 12. (FIVE days after Janet's disappearance. To my knowledge nothing had been done in terms of investigation or search until after our call on Sat.



Interview Report

Date: June 26, 2007

Place: Folsom, CA

**Interviewee: Sharon Roloff**

Interviewer: John W. Baker

On June 26, 2007, Sharon Roloff was recontacted and interviewed. Roloff advised that during her association and friendship with Janet Kovacich, she could not remember any incidents of violence between Janet and her mother, Jean Gregoire. Roloff advised that she would continue to think on this question, but right now could not remember any acts between the two that would be characterized as violent.

Roloff advised that she did recall an incident when Paul and Janet were living next door to her mother, wherein someone had call the sheriff's office and lodged a citizen complaint against Paul. She stated that Janet went to the sheriff's office and listened to the tape of the call and identified the caller as her mother. Roloff advised that she was shocked that anyone would do something like that to her daughter's husband.

Roloff advised that the entire time she and her husband associated with Paul and Janet, she could not think of anytime that Paul was mean to Janet.

Roloff advised that when she testified at the custody hearing for Paul and Janet's children, she was aware of how much Janet's parents hated Paul and she knew if they got custody they would never let Paul see the kids. She stated that Paul was always a good parent to the children.

Roloff advised that she did not recall an incident where Paul approached her at the sheriff's department and asked her if she had been interviewed by anyone.

1 LAW OFFICES OF SAM C. TRAYLOR  
2 SAM C. TRAYLOR, Esq., State Bar No. 109450  
3 1220 Melody Lane, Suite 100  
4 Roseville, California 95678  
5 (916) 781-2558 or 969-9504

**FILED**

4 Attorney for  
5 LEO GREGOIRE and JEAN GREGOIRE

DEC 14 1994

CARL DePIETRO  
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

BY *N. J. Ash* DEPUTY

7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PLACER

9 In re the Matter of the,  
10 Estate of:

CASE NO. 17403

11 JANET KAY KOVACICH,  
12 a Missing person.

NOTICE OF HEARING ON  
PETITION TO ESTABLISH  
FACT OF DEATH  
[H. & S. CODE §10550]

13 DATE: JAN 10 1995  
14 TIME: 8:30 A.M.  
15 DEPT: 2

15 TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:

16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on (AU) JAN 10 1995, 1995, at  
17 8:30 A.M., in Department 2 of the above entitled Court,  
18 located at 101 Maple St., Auburn, California, or as soon  
19 thereafter as the matter may be heard, Petitioners, JEAN GREGOIRE  
20 and LEO GREGOIRE, will Petition this court and do hereby Petition,  
21 for an order establishing fact of death, of JANET KAY KOVACICH.

22 This motion will be based on California Health And Safety  
23 Code, Section 10550, the Petition filed herewith, and the  
24 pleadings on file herein.

25  
26 DATED: December 12, 1994

*Sam C. Traylor*  
SAM C. TRAYLOR, Attorney  
for Petitioners

1 LAW OFFICES OF SAM C. TRAYLOR  
2 SAM C. TRAYLOR, Esq State Bar No 109450  
3 1220 Melody Lane, Suite 100  
4 Roseville, California 95678  
5 (916) 781-2558  
6 (916) 969-9504 SUPERIOR COURT

7 Attorney for  
8 Petitioners

JAN 05 1995  
RECEIVED

FILED

JAN - 5 1995

CARL DePIETRO  
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

DEPUTY

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PLACER

In re the Matter of the,  
Estate of:

JANET KAY KOVACICH

A Missing Person.

CASE NO. 17403

VERIFICATION OF PETITION  
TO ESTABLISH FACT OF DEATH

[H. & S. Code § 10550]

DATE: January 10, 1995  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.  
DEPT: 2

I, JEAN GREGOIRE, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am one of the Petitioners herein and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the Petition on file herein except as to those that are alleged upon information and belief, which I believe to be true.

2. I am the Mother of JANET KAY KOVACICH, a missing person.

3. I have read the Petition To Establish Fact Of Death, on file herein, and declare under penalty of perjury that the facts contained therein are true and correct.

Executed this Fourth day of January 1995, at Roseville, California.

*Jean Gregoire*  
JEAN GREGOIRE

1 it?

2 A. Yeah.

3 Q. In your interview with Jan Reynolds-Gage did Jan  
4 Reynolds-Gage tell you whether Janet told her whether she had  
5 any monetary support in leaving Paul?

6 A. I don't know if it is monetary, but she said she had her  
7 mother to assist her through that period.

8 Q. Did Jan Reynolds-Gage tell you whether this conversation  
9 occurred at St. Joseph's School?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And did it occur in the company of any other people,  
12 according to Ms. Reynolds-Gage?

13 A. There were two other people she said that at first I guess  
14 the conversation started out in the schoolyard and then went  
15 into the administration office. She said they were standing in  
16 line to pay some fees, and then after that it went into a  
17 different room, a coffee room, or somewhere to get coffee.

18 Q. Okay. Do you remember if Jan Reynolds-Gage told you that  
19 this conversation about the -- about possibly divorcing her  
20 husband took place in the presence of any other witnesses when  
21 it moved into this coffee area?

22 A. When it moved into the coffee room, there were two other  
23 names that Janice Reynolds-Gage gave me that had possibly heard  
24 their conversation.

25 Q. Do you recall what those names are sitting up there on the  
26 witness stand without looking at your report?

27 A. No.

28 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review a report on

**SUPPLEMENTAL OR CONTINUATION REPORT**

Type of original report <b>MISSING PERSON</b>	Date of original report <b>9-11-82</b>	Case number <b>254-10-82</b>
Victim or complainant <b>KOVACICH, PAUL R.</b>	Location of original occurrence <b>244 Forest Court</b>	Date and time of supplement <b>9-14-82</b>
Additional details of offense, progress of investigation, etc.		

~~(M) Gregoire, Jean, 8757 E. Granite Dr., Roseville, 791-4777~~

SATURDAY / 9-11-82  
2045 Hours ~~9-11-82~~

Mrs. Gregoire (Victim Kovocich's mother) called. I recontacted her by phone. She asked me to come to her house for information. I told her that patrol units were not able to leave the city. I also advised her that steps were being taken to locate her daughter.

She said she felt her daughter had not left on her own and that she felt Paul Kovicich had done something to her. She said she had more information but did not want to discuss it over the phone. I told her I would pass on the information and one of our detectives may be contacting her. I then told Chief Willick of what Mrs. Gregoire had said.

000169

This Offense is now

red Otherwise

Unfounded

M. Morello, Sgt.

Investigating Officer

Date

9-11-82

1 Q I would like to ask you just in terms of -- to the extent  
2 of your own personal knowledge and your own involvement in the  
3 case, showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 12, 12-S,  
4 purporting to be a diagram of Forest Court in Auburn, without  
5 being repetitive, could I ask you if you have any knowledge as  
6 to whether or not you may have contacted the residents, and I  
7 guess at least for the record, and if the prosecution doesn't  
8 mind the compound question, 251, 261, 267, 301, 309, 323, 328,  
9 320, 308, 302, 272, 262 and 252 of Forest Court, sir, did you --  
10 do you have a recollection of contacting those residents to ask  
11 them if they had any knowledge regarding Mrs. Kovacich's  
12 disappearance?

13 A I contacted two residents. I contacted the Rubio residence  
14 at 252, and then, of course, the Cunningham, but you didn't ask  
15 that number.

16 Q Okay.

17 A But I did contact 252 Forest Court.

18 Q Okay. So the 252 Forest Court would be the Rubios?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And 2 -- excuse me -- 329 would be the Cunninghams?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q Thank you.

23 Now, you were chief of police of the Auburn Police  
24 Department in 1982; right?

25 A Yes, sir.

26 Q Did you ever seek to block or prevent the dog Fuzz from  
27 being exhumed?

28 A I don't believe so.

1 may have been Detective Greg Odin that was in -- that was  
2 responsible for that.

3 Q Okay. Can you tell me if, during the course of your  
4 responsibilities on this case, did you ever attempt to exhume  
5 the dog that was buried at the senior Kovaciches' residence?

6 A I personally did not. It was one of the items that was  
7 discussed during the investigation, and that I was -- I was  
8 adamant about getting the dog exhumed, yes.

9 Q Did you order or direct someone to exhume the dog?

10 A I did not, no.

11 Q Now, you say you were adamant about doing that?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q But undertook no course of action to do that; is that  
14 correct?

15 A I voiced my opinion on probably more than one occasion that  
16 I wanted the dog exhumed.

17 Q Do you recall who it was that you voiced that opinion to?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q Who was that?

20 A That would have been Sheriff Donald Nunes, Chief  
21 Nick Willick, and I forget who the representative was who may  
22 have been from the district attorney's office. I wanted the dog  
23 exhumed to find out the cause of the dog's death.

24 Q Do you recall there being some representative from the DA's  
25 office involved in the case in 1982?

26 A I believe there was. I do not recall that person's name,  
27 though.

28 Q Okay. And would Mr. Willick have been your supervisor as

Nicholas S. Willick, Chief of Police 3101  
Auburn Police Department

69. CASE NO.  
254-10-82

70. CODE SECTION	71. CRIME	72. CLASSIFICATION MISSING PERSON		
73. VICTIM'S NAME - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE.. (FIRM IF BUS.)		74. ADDRESS	BUSINESS	75. PHONE

SATURDAY - 9-11-82

2045 hours

Mrs. GREGOIRE (victim KOVACICH'S mother) called. I recontacted her by phone. She asked me to come to her house for information. I told her that patrol units were not able to leave the city. I also advised her that steps were being taken to locate her daughter.

She said she felt her daughter had not left on her own and that she felt Paul KOVACICH had done something to her. She said she had more information but did not want to discuss it over the phone. I told her I would pass on the information and one of our detectives may be contacting her. I then told Chief Willick of what Mrs. GREGOIRE had said.

REPORTING OFFICERS A. Morello, Sergeant	RECORDING OFFICER	TYPED BY giw	DATE AND TIME	ROUTED BY
--	-------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

FURTHER ACTION  YES  NO

COPIES TO:  DETECTIVE  JUVENILE  DIST ATTY

CII  PATROL

EXHIBIT #173



AUBURN, CALIFORNIA POLICE DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL OR CONTINUATION REPORT

of original report	Date of original report	Case number
MISSING PERSON	9-11-82	254-10-82
Victim or complainant	Location of original occurrence	Date and time of supplement
Kovacich, Paul R. Jr.	244 Forest Court Auburn	

Additional details of offense, progress of investigation, etc.

I asked Paul about Wednesday and the chain of events on that day. He told me he and Janet had an argument that morning about their marriage and the kids schooling. They had discussed divorce, property settlement, kids and then suddenly she stated she was going to move the kids from St. Josephs to Forest Lake. During this time, Paul said he was agreeing with everything she was saying as he was playing head games with her. She then called Forest Lake School, about 0900 hrs. to see if she could still get her kids enrolled.

Later, at about 1000 hours, she called the school again to confirm an appointment at 11:10 a.m. Shortly after that Paul left to do some shopping at K-Mart. As he was leaving, Janet was making a call to arrange for a ride to the school. As he had offered but she told him no. After he was done at K-Mart he went to Payless. From there he went to the PCSO to drop off some comp time slips. From there he went to the gym, Old Courthouse raquetball, and worked out. From there he went to the PCSO Jail and then home. When he got home about 12:30, Janet was gone.

At about 1600 hours, he called Ientz at Forest Lake School to see if Janet had Kept her appointment. She told him Janet had not kept the appointment.

At about 2000 hours, he called Janet's parents residence to see if Janet was there. Janet's mother was not home and Janet wasn't there so Paul assumed Janet was with her mother.

Paul made no other attempts to locate Janet until the next day at about 0930 hours when he called APD and talked with Sgt. Butts.

I asked Paul if he had checked the house for anything unusual, notes numbers, signs of a struggle, etc. He said he hadn't looked that good. He then offered me his house keys and told me I could ~~look~~ ~~through~~ the house. SEARCH

000077

This Offense is now

1111 High St.  
Suite B  
Auburn Ca. 95603  
Tel 530-888-0400  
Fax 530-888-0415

JERRY JOHNSON

September 21, 1998

Sheriff Edward Bonner  
Placer County Sheriff Dept.  
PO Box 6990  
Auburn, Ca. 95604


Re; CCW Endorsement

Dear Sheriff Bonner

I received no response to two letters from Howard Ekerling Esq. and my last letter dated September 8, 1998. In my September 8, 1998 letter I stated that if I received no response by September 11, 1998, I would assume you are denying my request for a CCW Endorsement on my retirement identification.

By this letter I request a hearing as defined in 12027.1 of the Penal Code.

Thank You



Jerry Johnson.

cc: Howard Ekerling Esq.  
Jim Lombardi CRPOA

1 Q Has it always been that way?

2 A More so as I have gotten older and more mature.

3 Q "More so as I have gotten older."

4 So has your concept of telling the truth changed over time,  
5 the importance of telling the truth?

6 MS. GAZZANIGA: Objection. That's argumentative.

7 THE COURT: All right. Sustained. It's vague.

8 Q BY MR. SPURLING: Well, you say "more so." So you are  
9 saying more today you appreciate telling the truth than you have  
10 on some prior occasions; is that correct?

11 MS. GAZZANIGA: I am going to object. It's vague and  
12 irrelevant.

13 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. You can answer the  
14 question.

15 THE WITNESS: I guess I need to know exactly what you are  
16 asking me about.

17 Q BY MR. SPURLING: Well, you just told us --

18 A I certainly told lies in my life, yes.

19 Q In 1983, were you interviewed by any law enforcement  
20 officers regarding any knowledge that you might have about the  
21 disappearance of Janet Kovacich?

22 A I don't remember.

23 Q You don't remember being interviewed by anybody; is that  
24 right?

25 A I don't remember.

26 Q Do you remember being interviewed by Mr. Boon, Daniel Boon?

27 A No.

28 Q Do you remember being interviewed by Mr. Willick,

EXHIBIT #180